

PII: S0040-4039(97)00317-1

Tandem Nucleophilic Reaction of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds to Methyl α-Bromoacrylate: [3+2]Heteroannulation Leading to Hydrofuran Derivatives

Hisahiro Hagiwara,*^a Kouji Sato,^a Toshio Suzuki ,^a and Masayoshi Ando^b

Graduate School of Science and Technology,^a and Faculty of Engineering,^b Niigata University, 8050, 2 no-cho, Ikarashi, Niigata 950-21, Japan

Abstract: Enolates of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 1 add in a [3+2] manner to methyl α -bromoacrylate 2 to furnish hydrofuran derivatives 3 or 4 in the presence of DBU in THF. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

A number of methods have been developed so far in order to synthesize furan derivatives,¹ because many naturally-occurring compounds possess the furan moiety as a basic skeleton.²

Our ongoing interest has been directed toward the nucleophilic cascade reaction³ for construction of polycyclic molecules in a one-pot operation. We delineate herein a new synthesis towards hydrofuran derivatives 3 or 4 by tandem nucleophilic reaction of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 1 to methyl α -bromoacrylate 2 (Scheme 1), the utility of which has been overlooked as a partner for cascade bond-forming processes.⁴ These hydrofuran derivatives 3 or 4 would be versatile intermediates for substituted furans and some other oxygenated compounds. This procedure formally constitutes a [3+2]heteroannulation⁵ of enolates to electron-deficient olefins.



Scheme 1

Some typical non-nucleophilic bases were tested for the reaction of carboethoxycyclopentanone 5 and methyl α -bromoacrylate 2 (Scheme 2) due to high reactivity of 2 as a Michael acceptor,⁴ and it was found that DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) gave the desired product in THF (Table 1, entry 3).



Under our optimized reaction conditions, reactions of some representative 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds were investigated and the results are listed in Table 2. Both cyclic and acyclic 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds gave satisfactory results. In the reaction of acetyl- γ -butyrolactone 22, a spirocyclic hydrofuran derivative 23 was obtained (entry 8). In entry 10, initial Michael addition occurred at the α -methylene carbon unexpectedly, not at the more acidic α -methylene carbon in the reaction of 2-phenylsulfinylcyclohexanone 24. Except entries 3, 5, 6 and 9, dihydrofuran derivatives were mixtures of diastereomers. In entries 3 and 5, cyclopropane derivatives 12 and 17 were also isolated as a result of intramolecular C-alkylation of the intermediary enolate of the initial Michael adduct.

In entries 11 and 12, methyl α -bromocrotonate 28 afforded hydrofuran derivatives 29 and 30, albeit in low yield. Reactions with cyclic 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds gave none of the desired products, probably because of the difficulty in completing the initial Michael addition due to steric reasons.

Since treatment of the Michael adduct 7 (Table 1, entry 1) with DBU in THF afforded the hydrofuran derivative 6 in 45% yield, the reaction pathway of the present reaction is explained by initial intermolecular Michael addition of the C of the enolate 31 of 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 5 followed by intramolecular O-alkylation of the enolate 33 as shown in Scheme 3.



Table 2. Reactions of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with methyl α -bromoacrylate 2.^a

^a All reactions were carried out with 1.3 equiv of methyl α -bromoacrylate and 1.3 equiv. of DBU at room temperature in THF. Yield are based on 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds. ^b Methyl α -bromocrotonate was used.



In summary, we have shown that tandem nucleophilic reactions of both carbon and oxygen ends of enolates of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 1 to methyl α -bromoacrylate 2 furnished a variety of substituted hydrofuran derivatives 3 or 4 in satisfactory yields. Simplicity of operation as well as synthetic utility of hydrofuran derivatives 3 or 4 encourage further application of our methods to natural product synthesis.

References

- (a) Sargent, A. J.; Cresp, T.M. in Comprehensive Organic Chemistry, ed. Sammes, P. G, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, vol 4, p. 693-744 (b) Bird, C. W.; Cheeseman, G. W. H. in Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry, ed. Cheeseman, G. W. H. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984, vol 4, p. 89-153
 (c) Burns, C. J. Contemp. Org. Synth., 1994, 1, 23.
- 2 Raczko, J.; Jurczak, J. Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem., 1995, 16, 639-685.
- 3 Hagiwara, H.; Kon-no, M.; Nakano, T.; Uda, H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.1, 1995, 777-783 and references cited therein.
- 4 Hagiwara, H.; Abe, F.; Uda, H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.1, 1993, 2651-2655 and references cited therein.
- 5 Recent examples of [3+2]heteroannulation (a) Lavoisier, T.; Rodriguez, J. Synlett., 1996, 339-340 and references cited therein (b) Schinzer, D.; Panke, G. J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 4496-4497 (c) Balasubramanian, T.; Hassner, A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 5755-5758. (d) Masse, C. E.; Panek, J. S. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1293-1316 (e) Danheiser, R. L.; Carini, D. J.; Basak, A. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 1604 (f) Hudlicky, T.; Rulin, F.; Lovelace, T. C.; Reed, J. W. in Studies in Natural Product Chemistry, Stereoselective Synthesis, ed. Atta-ur-Rahman, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989, vol 3, part B, p. 3 (g) Hudlicky, T.; Reed, J. W. in Comprehensive Organic Chemistry, ed. Paquette, L. A. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol 5, p. 928-937.

(Received in Japan 6 January 1997; accepted 14 February 1997)